IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI.W.P.NO.938 OF 2006

Rony @ Ronald James Alvares ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and Ors. ..Respondents

....

Mr.N.N.Gawankar i/b Arfan Sait Advocate for Petitioner
Mr.A.S.Gadkari, A.P.P. for State

....

CORAM: D.G.DESHPANDE AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATE : APRIL 24,2006

P.C.:

1. Heard learned advocate for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State. Rule. By consent, rule is made returnable forthwith.

2. The petitioner had preferred application for furlough and he came to be released on furlough. Thereafter, the petitioner had preferred an application for extension of the period of furlough for two weeks. However, the concerned authorities granted only extension of one week i.e. from

18.4.2006 to 24.4.2006. The said extension was granted on account of humanitarian grounds. Looking to the facts of this case and on the said humanitarian grounds, we are of the opinion that extension of one more week can be granted to the petitioner. In this view of the matter, the petitioner to be granted extension of furlough for a period of one week from 25.4.2006. Rule made absolute in the above terms.

[D.G.DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]